

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/772,397	02/06/2004	Joan M. Cory	54644-037	5024		
26633	7590 08/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	RMAN WHITE & M ISLAND AVE, NW	MANUEL, O	MANUEL, GEORGE C			
	N, DC 20036-3001		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3762			

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(]			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/772,397	CORY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		George Manuel	3762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
THE   - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state the period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state the period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will. Set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will.	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thing will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	February 2004					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
/	Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the merits	is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 41-52 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) <u>42-46 and 48-52</u> is/are allowed.						
·	Di⊠ Claim(s) <u>41 and 47</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received				
	see the attached detailed Office action for a fi	st of the certified copies no	received.				
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/6/04</u> .		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/772,397

Art Unit: 3762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 41 and 47 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,706,016. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because depth measurement marks are an obvious variation of resistive layer changes since both allow a user to measure needle depth.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 42-46 and 48-52 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: A variable control mechanism comprising a variable optical switching device to

Art Unit: 3762

control the amplitude of an application of electric current in a nerve stimulator needle as claimed does not appear to be suggested or rendered obvious by the prior art of record.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Van Tassel et al '309 disclose measuring the depth for a catheter comprising marks on a tubular member for insertion into a patient's skin; however, there lacks a teaching or suggestion to combine the depth measurement marks with a nerve stimulator needle for applying electric current.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.

George Manuel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762

Page 3

8/1/05